arXiv:math/0406165v1 [math.AC] 9 Jun 2004

On the associated primes of Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules

Abstract:
After motivating the question we prove various results about the set of associated primes of Matlis duals of local cohomology modules. In easy cases we can calculate this set. An easy application of this theory is the well-known fact that Krull dimension can be expressed by the vanishing of local cohomology modules.

0. Motivation and Notation

Whenever $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local ring, we denote by $\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ an R-injective hull of the residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$ and by $D(M) := \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ the Matlis dual of M (here M is any R-module, for basics on injective modules and Matlis duals see [3], [4], [5], [9]).

Let I be an ideal of a noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ such that $0 = \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots$. For any $f \in I$ we have

$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{fR} \iff f \text{ acts surjevtively on } \mathrm{H}^1_I(R)$$

(Proof: "$\Rightarrow$": Clear, because f acts surjectively on $\mathrm{H}^1_{fR}(R)$. "$\Leftarrow$": For $f \in \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ the element $f \in R$ acts like zero on $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p})$ and at the same time surjectively on $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R) \otimes_R (R/\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p})$; so we must have $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ which implies $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.)

f acts surjectively on $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)$ if and only if f acts injectively on $D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R))$, that is, if and only if f is not contained in any prime ideal associated to $D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R))$.

The above statement is easily generalized to the following one: Let I be an ideal in a noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_I(R) = \ldots$ and $f_1, \ldots f_n \in I$. We assume $I \neq R$ is a proper ideal to avoid trivial cases. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(f_1, \ldots, f_n)R} &\iff f_i \text{ acts surjectively on } \mathrm{H}^{n+1-i}_I(R/(f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1})R) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \\ &\iff f_i \text{ acts injectively on } D(\mathrm{H}^{n+1-i}_I(R/(f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1})R)) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n\end{aligned}$$

Under the additional assumption

$$\mathrm{H}^l_I(R) = 0 \quad (l \neq n)$$

(i. e. $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ form a regular sequence on R) we may formulate:

$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(f_1, \ldots, f_n)R} \iff f_1, \ldots, f_n \text{ is a regular sequence on } D(\mathrm{H}^n_I(R))$$

This follows from

$$D(\mathrm{H}^n_I(R))/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)D(\mathrm{H}^n_I(R)) = D(\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)R, \mathrm{H}^n_I(R))) \neq 0$$

and the fact that the short exact sequence

$$0 \to R \xrightarrow{f_n} R \to R/f_nR \to 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{n-1}_I(R/f_nR) \to \mathrm{H}^n_I(R) \xrightarrow{f_n} \mathrm{H}^n_I(R) \to 0$$

and hence an equality

$$D(\mathrm{H}^{n-1}_I(R/f_nR)) = D(\mathrm{H}^n_I(R))/f_nD(\mathrm{H}^n_I(R))$$

Thus the minimal number of generators of I up to radical (this number is often called the arithmetic rank $\mathrm{ara}(I)$ of I) is related to regular sequences, an important concept in commutative algebra (basic facts on regular sequences can be found in [5], [10], [11]).

1. Conjecture

One may conjecture the following equality, being refered to by (*) from now on:
(*) Whenever $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local ring,

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R))) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) |\, \mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0\}$$

holds for any $i \geq 1$, $x_1, \ldots, x_i \in R$.

It is easy to see (paragraph 2.2) that we always have the inequality $\subseteq$ in the above situation.

1.1 Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:
(i) Conjecture (*) holds.
(ii) If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is an arbitrary noetherian local ring, $i \geq 1$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_i \in R$, the set

$$Y := \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)))$$

has the following "openness" property (referred to as (O)): $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R), \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q} \in Y \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in Y$.
(iii) Whenever $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local domain, $i \geq 1$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_i \in R$, $\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R) \neq 0$ implies $\{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)))$
Proof:
(i) $\Rightarrow$(ii): In the situation of (ii) we have $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}, D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R))) \neq 0$, hence

$$0 \neq \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}, D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R})(R)) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))$$

and so (i) implies $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)))$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): $\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R) \neq 0 \Rightarrow D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots x_i)R}(R)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R))) \neq \emptyset \overset{\text{(ii)}}{\Rightarrow} \{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)))$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$(i): Now $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is an arbitrary noetherian local ring and we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ such that

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$$

We apply (iii) to the domain $R/\mathfrak{p}$ (note $\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)(R/\mathfrak{p})}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$) and obtain an injection

$$\begin{aligned} R/\mathfrak{p} &\to D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)(R/\mathfrak{p})}(R/\mathfrak{p})) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R) \otimes_R (R/\mathfrak{p}), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}, D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)}(R))) \\ &\subseteq D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)) \end{aligned}$$

proving (i).

1.2 Remark

Suppose $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local equicharacteristic domain, $i \geq 1$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_i \in R$ are such that $\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R) \neq 0$. Suppose furthermore one wants to show $\{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)))$. For this one can replace R by $\hat{R}/\mathfrak{p}_0$, where $\hat{R}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of R and $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R})$ is lying over the zero ideal of R, and so we may assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local equicharacteristic complete domain. Let k be a coefficient field of R. Now one can use a surjective k-algebra homomorphism $k[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]] \to R$ (here $k[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$ denotes a power series ring over k in n variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$) mapping $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ to $x_1, \ldots x_n$, respectively, to reduce to the following problem:

If $R = k[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$ is a power series ring over a field k in n variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(X_1,\ldots,X_i)R}(R)))$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(X_1,\ldots,X_i)R}(R)))$ holds (that is: The set $\mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(X_1,\ldots,X_i)R}(R)))$ has the above mentioned “openness” property (O)).

2. Special Cases and their Consequences

One can show that condition (iii) from theorem 1.1 (respectively the condition from remark 1.2) holds in special (regular) situations; this is the content of the following paragraph:

2.1 Regular Cases

2.1.1 Lemma

Let k be a field, $n \geq 1$, $R = k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We set $I := (x_1, \ldots, x_i)R$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)R$. Then

$$\{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_I(R)))$$

Proof:
1. Case: $i = n$:
Here $\mathrm{H}^i_I(R) = \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ und also $D(\mathrm{H}^i_I(R)) = R$ and the statement follows.
2. Case: $i < n$: We have $\mathrm{H}^i_I(R) = \varinjlim_{l \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}} (R/(x_1^l, \ldots, x_i^l)R)$, the transition maps being induced by $R \to R$, $r \mapsto (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i) \cdot r$. So $D(\mathrm{H}^i_I(R)) = \varprojlim_{l \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}} (D(R/(x_1^l, \ldots, x_i^l)R))$; here $D(R/(x_1^l, \ldots, x_i^l)R) = \mathrm{E}_{R/(x_1^l,\ldots,x_i^l)R}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ $(\subseteq \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))$, the transition maps being induced by $\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) \to \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$, $e \mapsto (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i) \cdot e$ and we have $\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = k[x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}]$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha :=& (1, x_1^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-1} + x_{i+1}^{-1!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-1!}, \ldots, x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \\ &+ (x_{i+1}^{-1!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-1!}) \cdot (x_1^{-(m-1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(m-1)}) + \ldots + \\ &+ (x_{i+1}^{-(m-1)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-1)!}) \cdot (x_1^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-1}) + x_{i+1}^{-m!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-m!}, \ldots) \in D(\mathrm{H}^i_I(R))\end{aligned}$$

Here we consider the projective limit as a subset of a direct product. We state $\mathrm{ann}_R(\alpha) = \{0\}$: Assume there is an $f \in \mathrm{ann}_R(\alpha) \setminus \{0\}$. We choose $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Supp}(f)$ such that $(a_1, \ldots, a_i)$ is minimal (using the ordering $(c_1, \ldots c_i) \leq (c'_1, \ldots, c'_i) :\iff c_1 \leq c'_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge c_i \leq c'_i$) in $\{(a'_1, \ldots, a'_i) | \exists a'_{i+1}, \ldots, a'_n : (a'_1, \ldots, a'_n) \in \mathrm{Supp}(f)\}$. We may assume $a_1 = \max\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\}$. We replace f by $x_2^{a_1 - a_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{a_1 - a_i} \cdot f$; this means $a_1 = \ldots = a_i =: a$. Choose $h_1, \ldots, h_i \in R$ and $g \in k[[x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n]] \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$f = x_1^{a+1} h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1} h_i + (x_1^a \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^a) \cdot g$$

$f \cdot \alpha = 0$ means: For every m we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= [x_1^{a+1} h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1} h_i + (x_1^a \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^a) \cdot g] \cdot (x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \ldots + x_{i+1}^{-m!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-m!}) \\ &= (x_1^{a+1} h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1} h_i) \cdot [x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \ldots + (x_{i+1}^{-(m-a-1)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-a-1)!}) \cdot \\ &\cdot (x_1^{-(a+1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(a+1)})] + g \cdot (x_1^{-(m-a)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(m-a)} + \ldots + x_{i+1}^{-(m-a)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-a)!})\end{aligned}$$

Choose $(b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n)$ minimal in $\mathrm{Supp}(g)$; then for all $m >> 0$ the following statements must hold:

$$\begin{gathered}(m-a)! - b_{i+1} \leq (m-a-1)! \\ \vdots \\ (m-a)! - b_n \leq (m-a-1)!\end{gathered}$$

For $m >> 0$ this leads to a contradiction, the assumption is wrong and the lemma is proved.

2.1.2 Lemma

Let p be a prime number, C a complete p-ring, $n \geq 1$, $R = C[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We set $I := (x_1, \ldots, x_i)R$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (p, x_1, \ldots, x_n)R$. Then $\{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_I(R)))$.
Proof:
We have $\mathrm{H}^i_I(R) = \varinjlim_{l \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} (R/(x_1^l, \ldots, x_i^l)R)$, the transition maps being induced by $R \to R$, $r \mapsto (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i) \cdot r$.
We deduce $D(\mathrm{H}^i_I(R)) = \varprojlim_{l \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} (D(R/(x_1^l, \ldots, x_i^l)R))$; we recall $D(R/(x_1, \ldots, x_i^l)R) = \mathrm{E}_{R/(x_1^l, \ldots x_i^l)R}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ $(\subseteq \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))$, the transition maps being induced by $\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) \to \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$, $e \mapsto (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i) \cdot e$. Furthermore

$$\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = (C_p/C)[x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}]$$

holds (because of $\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{(p,x_1,\ldots,x_n)R}(R) = \mathrm{H}^1_{pR}(R) \otimes_R \ldots \otimes_R \mathrm{H}^1_{x_n R}(R) = (C_p/C) \otimes_C ((R_{x_1}/R) \otimes_R \ldots \otimes_R (R_{x_n}/R)))$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha := & (p^{-1}, p^{-1} x_1^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-1} + p^{-1!} x_{i+1}^{-1!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-1!}, \ldots, p^{-1} x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \\ & + (p^{-1!} x_{i+1}^{-1!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-1!}) \cdot (x_1^{-(m-1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(m-1)}) + \ldots + \\ & + (p^{-(m-1)!} x_{i+1}^{-(m-1)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-1)!}) \cdot (x_1^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-1}) + p^{-m!} x_{i+1}^{-m!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-m!}, \ldots) \in D(\mathrm{H}^i_I(R)) \end{aligned}$$

and, similar to the proof of lemma 2.1.1, we show that $\mathrm{ann}_R(\alpha) = 0$. Assume to the contrary there is an $f \in \mathrm{ann}_R(\alpha) \setminus \{0\}$. Choose $(a_1, \ldots, a_i)$ minimal in

$$\{(a'_1, \ldots, a'_i) | \text{there exists } a'_{i+1}, \ldots a'_n \text{ such that } (a'_1, a'_n) \in \mathrm{Supp}(f)\}$$

Like before we may assume $a_1 = \ldots = a_i =: a$. Choose $h_1, \ldots, h_i \in R$ and $g \in C[[x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n]] \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$f = x_1^{a+1} \cdot h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1} \cdot h_i + x_1^a \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^a \cdot g$$

$\alpha \cdot f = 0$ implies, for all $m \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x_1^{a+1} h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1} h_i + x_1^a \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^a \cdot g) \cdot (p^{-1} x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \ldots + p^{-m!} x_{i+1}^{-m!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-m!}) \\ &= (x_1^{a+1} h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1} h_i) \cdot [p^{-1} x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \ldots + (p^{-(m-a-1)!} \cdot x_{i+1}^{-(m-a-1)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-a-1)!}) \cdot \\ &\cdot (x_1^{-(a+1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(a+1)})] + g \cdot (p^{-1} x_1^{-(m-a)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(m-a)} + \ldots + p^{-(m-a)!} x_{i+1}^{-(m-a)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-a)!}) \end{aligned}$$

Let $(b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n)$ be minimal in $\mathrm{Supp}(g)$ and $c \in C$ be the coefficient of g in front of $x_{i+1}^{b_{i+1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{b_n}$. In C_p/C we have $c \cdot p^{-(m-a)!} \neq 0$ for all $m >> 0$. So, like before, we must have

$$(m-a)! - b_{i+1} \leq (m-a-1)!$$

$$(m-a)! - b_n \leq (m-a-1)!$$

for all $m >> 0$, which leads to a contradiction again.

2.1.3 Lemma
Let p be a prime number, C a complete p-ring, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $R = C[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$, $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, $I := (p, x_1, \ldots, x_i)R$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (p, x_1, \ldots, x_n)R$. Then

$$\{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_I(R)))$$

Proof:
1. Case: $i = n$: In this case we have $\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_I(R) = \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ and hence $D(\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_I(R)) = R$.
2. Case: $i < n$: Similar to the situation in the proof of lemma 2.1.2 we have

$$D(\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_I(R)) = \varprojlim (\mathrm{E}_{R/(p,x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{m}) \xleftarrow{p \cdot x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i} \mathrm{E}_{R/(p^2,x_1^2,\ldots,x_i^2)R}(R/\mathfrak{m}) \xleftarrow{p \cdot x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i} \ldots)$$

$$\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = (C_p/C)[x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}]$$

and we define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha :=&(p^{-1}, p^{-2}x_1^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-1} + p^{-2}x_{i+1}^{-1!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-1!}, \ldots, p^{-(m+1)}x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \\ &+ p^{-(m+1)}x_{i+1}^{-1!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-1!} \cdot x_1^{-(m+1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(m-1)} + \ldots + \\ &+ p^{-(m+1)}x_{i+1}^{-(m-1)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-1)!} \cdot x_1^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-1} + p^{-(m+1)}x_{i+1}^{-m!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-m!}, \ldots)\end{aligned}$$

Again we state $\mathrm{ann}_R(\alpha)$ and assume, to the contrary, that there exists an $f \in \mathrm{ann}_R(\alpha) \setminus \{0\}$, choose $(a_1, \ldots, a_i)$ minimal in

$$\{(a'_1, \ldots, a'_i) | \text{There exist } a'_{i+1}, \ldots, a'_n \text{ such that } (a'_1, \ldots, a'_n) \in \mathrm{Supp}(f)\}$$

may assume $a_1 = \ldots = a_i =: a$ and choose $h_1, \ldots, h_i \in R$, $g \in C[[x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n]]$ such that

$$f = x_1^{a+1}h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1}h_i + (x_1^a \cdot \ldots \cdot a_i^a) \cdot g$$

This means, for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 = &(x_1^{a+1}h_1 + \ldots + x_i^{a+1}h_i)[p^{-(m+1)}x_1^{-m} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-m} + \ldots + (p^{-(m+1)}x_{i+1}^{-(m-a-1)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-a-1)!}) \cdot \\ &\cdot (x_1^{-(a+1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(a+1)})] + g \cdot (p^{-(m+1)}x_1^{-(m-a)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^{-(m-a)} + \ldots + p^{-(m+1)}x_{i+1}^{-(m-a)!} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{-(m-a)!})\end{aligned}$$

Choose $(b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n)$ minimal in $\mathrm{Supp}(g)$ and let $c \in C$ be the coefficient of g in front of $x_{i+1}^{b_{i+1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{b_n}$. In C_p/C we have $g \cdot p^{-(m+1)} \neq 0$ for all $m >> 0$, and so we must have for all $m >> 0$

$$(m-a)! - b_{i+1} \leq (m-a-1)!$$

$$(m-a)! - b_n \leq (m-a-1)!$$

which leads to a contradiction, proving the lemma.

2.2 Some special Associated Primes of Duals of Top Local Cohomology Modules

2.2.1 Theorem

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring, $i \geq 1$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_i \in R$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R))) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) | \, \mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0\}$$

holds. If R is equicharacteristic,

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) | x_1, \ldots, x_i \text{ is part of a system of parameters for } R/\mathfrak{p}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)))$$

holds.
Proof:
For the first inclusion let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)))$; in particular

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\neq \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}, D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R))) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{E}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}), \mathrm{E}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(R/\mathfrak{m}))\end{aligned}$$

implying $\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$.

For the second inclusion let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and $x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n \in R$ such that $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ (more precisely: their images in $R/\mathfrak{p}$) is a system of parameters for $R/\mathfrak{p}$; then $n = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$. $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is a system of parameters also in $\hat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\hat{R}$. Choose $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\hat{R})$ with $\dim(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{q}) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$. This implies $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Min}(\hat{R})$ and $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$. Because of $\dim(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{q}) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$ the elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ form a system of parameters of $\hat{R}/\mathfrak{q}$. It is sufficient to show $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{\hat{R}}(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)\hat{R}}(\hat{R})))$, because we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)\hat{R}}(\hat{R})) &= \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)\hat{R}}(\hat{R}), \mathrm{E}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R})) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)\hat{R}}(\hat{R}), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R) \otimes_R \hat{R}, \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)) \end{aligned}$$

and so every monomorphism $\hat{R}/\mathfrak{q} \to D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)\hat{R}}(\hat{R}))$ induces a monomorphism

$$R/\mathfrak{p} \overset{\text{kan.}}{\to} \hat{R}/\mathfrak{q} \to D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)\hat{R}}(\hat{R})) = D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R))$$

Put into different words this means we may assume that R is complete.
We have to show that the zero ideal of $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is associated to

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}, D(\mathrm{H}^i_{x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)) = D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R/\mathfrak{p}}(R/\mathfrak{p}))$$

(this equality was shown in the proof of the second inclusion). Replacing R by $R/\mathfrak{p}$ we may assume that R is a domain and $\mathfrak{p}$ is the zero ideal in R. Let $k \subseteq R$ denote a coefficient field.

$$R_0 := k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]] \subseteq R$$

is an n-dimensional regular local subring of R, over which R is module-finite. Let $\mathfrak{m}_0$ denote the maximal ideal of R_0. The R-Modul $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, \mathrm{E}_{R_0}(R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R)) &= \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R_0}(R_0) \otimes_{R_0} R, \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R_0}(R_0), \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, \mathrm{E}_{R_0}(R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0))) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R_0}(R_0), \mathrm{E}_{R_0}(R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0))) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R_0}(R_0))) \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 2.1.1 there exists a monomorphism $R_0 \to D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R_0}(R_0))$; it induces a monomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, R_0) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R_0}(R_0))) = D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\ldots,x_i)R}(R))$$

R is a domain and module-finite over R_0, and thus $\{0\} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, R_0))$; the statement follows now.

In case $i = 1$ theorem 2.2.1 means:

2.2.2 Corollary

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local equicharacteristic ring and $x \in R$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^1_{xR}(R))) = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \mathfrak{V}(x)$$

2.2.3 Theorem

Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring of mixed characteristic, $p = \operatorname{char}(R/\mathfrak{m})$, $i \geq 0$ and $x_1,\dots,x_i \in R$. Then

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) | p, x_1,\dots,x_i \text{ is part of a system of parameters for } R/\mathfrak{p}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{(p,x_1,\dots,x_i)R}(R)))$$

In case $i \geq 1$

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) | p, x_1,\dots,x_i \text{ is part of a system of parameters for } R/\mathfrak{p}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^{i}_{(x_1,\dots,x_i)R}(R)))$$

holds in addition.
Theorem 2.2.3 is proved in a similar way like Theorem 2.2.1, using lemma 2.1.2 and lemma 2.1.3 instead of lemma 2.1.1.

2.2.4 Remarks
(i) If one has $\operatorname{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\dots,x_i)R}(R))) = \emptyset$ in the situation of the theorem, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\dots,x_i)R}(R) = 0$ and also $\mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\dots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ (by a well-known theorem).

(ii) The second inclusion of theorem 2.2.1 is not an equality in general: For a counterexample let k be a field, $R = k[[y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4]]$ and define $x_1 = y_1y_3,\ x_2 = y_2y_4,\ x_3 = y_1y_4 + y_2y_3$. x_1,x_2,x_3 is not part of a system of parameters for R, but we have $\sqrt{(x_1,x_2,x_3)R} = (y_1,y_2)R \cap (y_3,y_4)R$ and so a Mayer-Vietoris sequence argument shows $\mathrm{E}_R(k) = \mathrm{H}^3_{(y_1,y_2)R\cap(y_3,y_4)R}(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_{(x_1,x_2,x_3)R}(R)$ and so $D(\mathrm{H}^3_{(x_1,x_2,x_3)R}(R)) = R$ implying $\{0\} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^3_{(x_1,x_2,x_3)R}(R)))$.

(iii) In the situation of theorem 2.2.1 let denote

$$Z_1 := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) | \mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,\dots,x_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0\}$$

$$Z_2 := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) | x_1,\dots,x_i \text{ is part of a system of parameters for } R/\mathfrak{p}\}$$

Then Z_1 has property (O) and, if we assume in addition that R is regular, Z_2 also has (O).

2.3 Comparison between two Matlis Duals

Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local equicharacteristic complete ring with coefficient field k, $y_1,\dots,y_i \in R$ such that $R_0 := k[[y_1,\dots,y_i]]$ is regular and of dimension i (e. g. when $\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R) \neq 0$). Let D_R denote the Matlis-dual functor with respect to R and D_{R_0} the one with respect to R_0. By local duality we get

$$D_{R_0}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R \otimes_{R_0} \mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R_0}(R_0), \mathrm{E}_{R_0}(k)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, R_0)$$

$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, \mathrm{E}_{R_0}(k))$ is an injective R-module; there exists an injective R-module E' such that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_0}(R, \mathrm{E}_{R_0}(k)) = \mathrm{E}_R(k) \oplus E'$$

We set $E = \Gamma_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(E')$. An easy computation shows

$$D_{R_0}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R)) = D_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R)) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R), E)$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Ass}_R(D_{R_0}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R))) = \operatorname{Ass}_R(D_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R))) \cup \operatorname{Ass}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R), E))$$

It is natural to ask for relations between $D_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R))$ and $D_{R_0}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R))$.

For every $\mathfrak{p} \in Z := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) | (y_1,\dots,y_i)R \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}\}$ we choose a set $\mu_\mathfrak{p}$ such that

$$E = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z} \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})^{(\mu_\mathfrak{p})}$$

2.3.1 Remark
One has $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \emptyset$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$.
Proof:
We have to show that $\mathfrak{p}$ is associated to $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R/\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{E}_{R_0}(k))$; the last module is $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R/\mathfrak{p}, k)$, because $\mathfrak{p}$ is annihilated by $y_1, \ldots, y_i$; that means we have to show that if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local equicharacteristic complete domain with coefficient field k, then the zero ideal is associated to $\mathrm{Hom}_k(R, k)$:
Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R$ be a system of parameters for R. Then $R_0 := k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ is a regular subring of R, over which R is module-finite. One has $\mathrm{Hom}_k(R, k) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R, \mathrm{Hom}_k(R_0, k))$ and therefore it is sufficient to prove $\{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_{R_0}(\mathrm{Hom}_k(R_0, k))$, because then every R_0-injection

$$R_0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(R_0, k)$$

induces an R-injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R, R_0) \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(R, k)$$

and one always has $\{0\} \in \mathrm{Supp}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R, R_0))$; thus we may assume $R = k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ from now on:
For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we set $R_i := k[[x_1, \ldots, x_i]]$. Again we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(R_i, k) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{i-1}}(R_i, \mathrm{Hom}_k(R_{i-1}, k))$$

for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Using this and an obvious induction argument, the statement follows from lemma 2.3.2.

2.3.2 Lemma
Let k be a field and $R_0 := k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$, $R := k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n, x]] = R_0[[x]]$ be power series rings in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n, x$, respectively. Then

$$\{0\} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R, R_0))$$

holds.
Proof:
By $\mathfrak{m}_0$ we denote the maximal ideal of R_0. The canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \to R_0[x] \to R_0[[x]] \to R_0[[x]]/R_0[x] \to 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0[[x]]/R_0[x], R_0) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0[[x]], R_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0[x], R_0)$$

The map α is the dual of the canonical map

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}_0}(R_0[x]) = \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}_0}(R_0) \otimes_{R_0} R_0[x] \to \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}_0}(R_0) \otimes R_0[[x]] = \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}_0}(R_0[[x]])$$

which is obviously injective. This means that α is surjective. The $R_0[x]$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0[x], R_0)$ can be written as $R_0[[x^{-1}]]$ and in $R_0[[x^{-1}]]$ the element $h' := 1 + x^{-1!} + x^{-2!} + \ldots$ has $R_0[x]$-annihilator zero. Choose an element $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{R_0}(R_0[[x]], R_0)$ which is mapped to h' by α; then $\mathrm{ann}_{R_0[x]}(h) = \{0\}$ which implies $\mathrm{ann}_{R_0[[x]]}(h) = \{0\}$.

Quite generally every $\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module and so we always have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\ldots,y_i)R}(R), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\ldots,y_i)R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}), E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}))$$

2.3.3 Remark
If conjecture (*) holds, there are the following consequences:
(i)

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\ldots,y_i)R}(R), \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) | (\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\ldots,y_i)R}(R/\mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}$$

In particular we have

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(k)))$$

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))^{(\mu_\mathfrak{p})} &\subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),E)\\ &\subseteq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))^{\mu_\mathfrak{p}}\end{aligned}$$

Every non-trivial annulator of an element of $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))^{\mu_\mathfrak{p}}$ is contained in some associated prime ideal of some $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))$. But the set

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})))$$

has the "openness property" (O) because of the conjecture, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),E)) &= \mathrm{Ass}_R(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))^{(\mu_\mathfrak{p})})\\ &= \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in Z}\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R),\mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})))\\ &\subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(D_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R)))\end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(D_{R_0}(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R))) = \mathrm{Ass}_R(D_R(\mathrm{H}^i_{(y_1,\dots,y_i)R}(R)))$$

2.4 An easy application

The following result is well-known; we present a new proof for it:

2.4.1 Corollary

Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R-module. Then $\mathrm{H}_\mathfrak{m}^{\dim_R(M)}(M)\neq 0$.
Proof:
We use the following fact: For every $n\in\mathbf{N}$, every ideal $I\subseteq R$ and every finitely generated R-module N the following statemtents are equivalent:
(i) $H^i_I(N)=0$ for all $i\geq n$.
(ii) $H^i_I(R/\mathfrak{p})=0$ for all $i\geq n$ and all $\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Supp}_R(N)$
This fact implies (setting $d:=\dim_R(M)=\dim(R/\mathrm{ann}_R(M))$) $\mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(M)\neq 0 \iff \mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(R/\mathrm{ann}_R(M))$. Thus we may assume $M=R$ and R is a domain. Again we set $d:=\dim(R)$ and choose a system of parameters $x_1,\dots,x_d\in R$ for R; theorems 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 (in the case of mixed characteristic we may choose $x_1=p$ where $p=\mathrm{char}(R/\mathfrak{m})$) implie $\{0\}\in\mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(R)))$; in particular $\mathrm{H}^d_\mathfrak{m}(R)\neq 0$.

References

1. Bass, H. On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, *Math. Z.* **82**, (1963) 8-28.
2. Brodmann, M. and Hellus, M. Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes, *Journal of Pura and Applied Algebra* **172**, (2002) 165-182.
3. Brodmann, M. P. and Sharp, R. J. Local Cohomology, *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* **60**, (1998).
4. Bruns, W. and Herzog, J. Cohen-Macaulay Rings, *Cambridge University Press*, (1993).
5. Eisenbud, D. Commutative Algebra with A View Toward Algebraic Geometry, *SPringer Verlag*, (1995).

6. Grothendieck, A. Local Cohomology, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag*, (1967).
7. Hellus, M. On the set of associated primes of a local cohomology module, *J. Algebra* **237**, (2001) 406-419.
8. Huneke, C. Problems on Local Cohomology, *Res. Notes Math.* **2**, (1992).
9. Matlis, E. Injective modules over Noetherian rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **8**, (1958) 511-528.
10. Matsumura, H. Commutative ring theory, *Cambridge University Press*, (1986).
11. Scheja, G. and Storch, U. Regular Sequences and Resultants, *AK Peters*, (2001).